## 학술대회발표논문집

# 2012년 대한언어학회 가을 학술대회

■주제: 언어학 연구의 실제적 활용 - 이론, 교육 및 표기법을 중심으로

■장소: 광주 전남대학교 진리관(경영대학과 인문대 1호관 사이)

■일시: 2012. 10. 20(토) 09:00 ~ 18:00

■등록비: 1만원(점심 및 프로시딩스 포함)

■주최: 대한언어학회

■주관: 전남대학교 영어교육과

■후원: 한국연구재단, 전남대학교

- \* 이 발표논문집은 2012년도 정부재원(교육과학기술부)으로 한국연구재단의 지원을 받아 **전자발간** 되었음
- \* This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government.

### An Alternative Approach to English Demonstratives: this and that

## Eunsuk Lee (Daegu University)

#### 1. Introduction

- This study addresses *this* and *that*, in detail, which are explained as items classified differently from each other by previous approaches (a semantic/pragmatic approach and a cognitive approach), and claims that actually an integrated approach can explain them.

#### 2. Previous Studies

#### 2.1. A semantic/pragmatic approach

- The extension of the semantic feature (Lakoff 1974, Fillmore 1975, Levinson 1983, Brown and Levinson 1987, Cho 1998)

#### 2.2. A cognitive approach

- Accessibility theory (Ariel 1994, 1996, 2004, Gundel, Hedberg & Zacharski 1993)

#### 3. An Alternative Approach

- Depending on how high a focus the speaker puts on the referent, how much attention he/she pays to it, or how important he/she think it is, the choice between *this* and *that* is determined.

#### 4. Remaining Problems

- A more clear account is required for what relations the concepts of focus and attention have with the traditional spatial proximity.

#### **Selected References**

- Ariel, M. 1994. Interpreting anaphoric expressions: a cognitive versus a pragmatic approach. *Journal of Linguistics* 30, 3-42.
- Ariel, M. 1996. Referring expressions and the +/- coreference distinction. In T. Fretheim and J.

- K. Gundel, eds., *Reference and Referent Accessibility*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia, John Benjamins, 141-153.
- Ariel, M. 2004. Accessibility marking: discourse functions, discourse profiles, and processing cues. *Discourse Processes* 37.2, 91-116.
- Brown, P. and S. Levinson. 1987. *Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cho, H. 1998. The meaning of English demonstratives: the extension of the semantic feature. *Studies in Modern Grammar* 14, 285-300.
- Fillmore, C. 1975. Santa Cruz Lectures on Deixis. Bloomington: Indiana University Linguistics
- Gundel, J. K., N. Hedberg, and R. Zacharski. 1993. Cognitive status and the form of referring expressions in discourse. *Language* 69-2, 247-307.
- Hanks, W. F. 1989. The Indexical Ground of Deictic Reference, *Chicago Lingustic Society* 25-2, 104-122.
- Lakoff, R. 1974. Remarks on 'this' and 'that', Chicago Linguistic Society 10, 345-356.

Levinson, S. C. 1983. Pragmatics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lyons, J. 1977. Semantics, vol. 2. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Strauss, S. 1993. Why 'this' and 'that' are not Complete without 'it', in K. Beals ed., *Chicago Linguistic Society* 29-1, 403-417.

발표자: Eunsuk Lee

소속기관: Daegu University 연락처: 82-53-850-4126

e-mail: eunsuk76@daegu.ac.kr